

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,338	<u> </u>	12/05/2003	Jose Antonio Cubero Pitel	8132ES	1337
23688	7590	01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
Bruce E. H			TRAN, BINH X		
PO BOX 872735 VANCOUVER, WA 98687-2735				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1765	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/707,338	CUBERO PITEL, JOSE ANTONIO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Binh X. Tran	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  AND AREA OF THIS COMMUNICATION  BY A STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  BY ATE	N. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 D	<u> December 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Its have been received in Application  In ity documents have been received in the contents of the contents in the cont	ntion No ved in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	4) [ ]	(DTO 442)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 5-17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-17 are not been further treated on the merits.

Note: Claims 4-5, 15-16 are a multiple dependent claim. However, multiple dependent claims 5 and 15-16 depend on multiple dependent claim 4. Claims 6-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because they directly or indirectly depend on improper multiple dependent claim 5. Claim 17 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because they directly or indirectly depend on improper multiple dependent claim 16.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 4-17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,338

In claim 3 and claim 4, applicants disclose the step of depositing <u>additional</u> extruded sheets (<u>20b</u>, ...20n) on the first sheet (20a). However in line 11-12 of claim 4, applicants recites a contradict limitation "applying said second plate (30) on the <u>last sheet (20a</u>, 20b,...20n)" (emphasis added). Applicants clearly defines that the first sheet is labeled with reference number (20a) and the additional sheet (20b, ...20n) is deposited after the first sheet (20a). Therefore, it is impossible for the first sheet (20a) become the last sheet if additional sheets (20b, ...20n) are deposited over the first sheet (20a).

Claims 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement because they directly or indirectly depend on claim 4.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 2 of claim 1, the phrase "of the type" is subjective and indefinite. It is unclearly from the claim what specific type that applicants wish to claim.

In line 17 of claim 1 the phrase "the material thereof" (in the phrase "so as to eliminate the material thereof") lacks antecedent basis. There are several different materials in the claim (electro-conductive material and dielectric substrate material).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,338

**Art Unit: 1765** 

Therefore, it is unclear what specific material that applicants wish to refer as "the material thereof".

In lines 19-20 of claim 1, the examiner does not understand the limitation "giving several finished tracks (13) isolated from each other as a result". It is unclear from the what specific result that applicants wish to claim

In line 19 of claim 4 the phrase "the material thereof" lacks antecedent basis for the same reason as discussed above.

In claims 1-4 the term "first sheet" lacks antecedent basis. The examiner suggests applicant replacing "first sheet" with --first heated sheet-- as defined in line 27 of claim 1.

Claims 2-17 are indefinite because they directly or indirectly depend on indefinite claim 1.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. Claim 2-3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See Notice of References Cited (PTO 892 form).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,338

Art Unit: 1765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh X. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BinhTran

Binh X. Tran